

NAMD Workshop Evaluation
Day 3, Friday, April 19, 2002

Hands-on Lab using BioCoRE

1. Please rate the following:

	<u>Strongly</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Unsure</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Strongly</u>
	<u>Disagree</u>				<u>Agree</u>
a. Using BioCoRE is easy.	1	2	3	4	5
b. BioCoRE is a good training platform	1	2	3	4	5
c. BioCoRE is a good platform for research collaboration.	1	2	3	4	5
d. I intend to use BioCoRE in the future.	1	2	3	4	5
e. I am satisfied with the hands-on experience within BioCoRE.	1	2	3	4	5
f. The hands-on session was useful.	1	2	3	4	5
g. The hands-on training was effective.					
h. The three-day workshop overall was useful					

Please provide any comments or suggestions about the workshop content, presentation, or experience so far.

Thank you for your feedback.

NAMD Workshop Evaluation
Day 2, Thursday, April 18, 2002

Check your location.

- Boston University
- NCSA, Champaign
- North Dakota State University
- Purdue University
- Ohio Supercomputing
- Pittsburgh Supercomputing

1. Please rate the following presentations:

	<u>Not</u> <u>Useful</u>	<u>Somewhat</u> <u>Useful</u>	<u>Unsure</u>	<u>Useful</u>	<u>Very</u> <u>Useful</u>
a. Configuring & Running NAMD Simulations	1	1	3	4	5
b. Building Molecular Structures	1	2	3	4	5
c. Assembling Molecular Systems	1	2	3	4	5
d. Integrating Equations of Motion	1	2	3	4	5
e. Accelerating Processes via Steered MD	1	2	3	4	5
f. Analysis of MD Trajectories	1	2	3	4	5
g. Efficient Evaluation of Forces	1	2	3	4	5
h. NAMD Serial & Parallel Performance	1	2	3	4	5
h Discussion, Questions & Answers	1	2	3	4	5
i. The workshop overall	1	2	3	4	5

Comments _____

2. Please rate the following:

	<u>Strongly</u> <u>Disagree</u>	<u>Disagree</u>	<u>Unsure</u>	<u>Agree</u>	<u>Strongly</u> <u>Agree</u>
a. Quality of audio is satisfactory	1	2	3	4	5
b. Presenter was clearly visible.	1	2	3	4	5
c. Access Grid materials were clearly visible.	1	2	3	4	5
d. Participants at other sites were clearly visible.	1	2	3	4	5
e. Quality of video is satisfactory.	1	2	3	4	5
f. Use of technology (i.e., participation from multiple sites) enhanced workshop experience.	1	2	3	4	5

3. Please rate the workshop:

a. The workshop is well organized.	1	2	3	4	5
b. Satisfied my research needs	1	2	3	4	5
c. Addressed expected research needs	1	2	3	4	5
d. Web-based NAMD materials met my needs	1	2	3	4	5

Comments _____

What suggestions do you have for improving the workshop?

What suggestions do you have for similar workshops?

